

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/652,803	JOSEPH, JOSHY	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANDY HO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Andy Ho. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven R. Bartholomew, Attorney Reg. No. 34,771. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2008 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 112 issues in claims 1, 3 and 18. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.